1	
2	
3	
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
6	
7	CRYSTAL WEST, et al.,
8	Plaintiffs, ) Case No. 2:16-cv-00733-APG-GWF
9	vs. ORDER
10	BRAHMA GROUP, INC.,
11	Defendant.
12	
13	This matter is before the Court on the Confidentiality Agreement and Stipulation for Entry
14	of a Qualified Protective Order (ECF No. 32) filed March 22, 2017. The proposed protective order
15	states that the party challenging a confidential designation has the burden of proof to establish that
16	the information or document is not entitled to such protection. This places the burden on the wrong
17	party. Rather, the burden of proof shall be on the designating party to show that the information or
18	document is entitled to such protection. The parties are directed to submit a revised protective order
19	with that clarification. Accordingly,
20	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Confidentiality Agreement and Stipulation for Entry
21	of a Qualified Protective Order (ECF No. 32) is <b>denied</b> , without prejudice.
22	DATED this 23rd day of March, 2017.
23	M (a)
24	GEORGE FOLEY, JR.
25	United States Magistrate Judge
26	
27	
28	
	d .